G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

File No. 521

1914.
(Miscl.)

Serial Nos. 1–5

Later references.
521-14-b 11
Misc 348 26-1-14
80-27-1-3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1–5

Part B for Sept 15

SUBJECT

Management of Sri Ramachandra temple on the bank of the river Tunga at Sanagode, Shikaripur Taluk

---

To, The Dy Commr, Shimoga Dt
718 Dy 8.9.15

Passing orders on the previous petition from Vedavyasa Jois of Shikaripur

re

GPB—10,000—23 8-15

G. R. S. 11] 1914.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inam)

NOTES.

<21-14
File No.

FILE HEADING.

Management of Sri Ramachandra Devaru Temple on the bank of the River Tunga at Samagode in the Tirthahalli Taluk.

From

Vedanya Sa jois of Tirthahalli

~~No.~~ Petition Dated 25. 1. 1915. Received

Diary No. 1157	Enclos. /	Spare Copies.	Serial No. /

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays for a re-consideration of the order of Govt conveyed in this office letter no. 724 dy 12th october 1914 directing the cancellation of the unauthorized alienations of the inam lands endowed to Sri Ramachandra Devaru etc.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Inam. D. no. 36-06 containing ~~the~~ ~~Govt~~ Govt order of 12th Octr 1914 ought to be reviewed by Vedanya Sa jois is herewith submitted. The facts of the case are given at

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—20,000-8-8-14

G. R. 8-12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 521 of 1914.

Serial No.

in the office note of the Collector.

In the above letter, under orders of the 1st member, the Dy. Commr. was directed to take proceedings to cancel the unauthorized alienation on long or perpetual leases of the temple inam lands made by the Vahivatdar, and to obtain a muchalika binding the latter to act up to the conditions therein laid down, and in case of his failure to execute such a muchalika, to oust him and take possession of the property for management by Govt.

The petitioner prays that the above order may be reviewed for the following reasons: —

(1) The temple is not a muzrai institution but a private temple - which was a marriage gift to the petnr's. ancestor.

(2) The temple is kept in a state of repair and services duly rendered.

(3) Some of the lands, it is true, have been permanently leased, because there is no other means of getting them cultivated properly.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPP 5,000—9 11 11

Serial No.

(4) The lands in question were a gift at the time of the marriage of the petitioner's ancestor for the support of Yogi Bhatta and his family along with Ramachandra Devaru.

It is submitted that the above facts were before Govt at the time of passing the order now sought to be revised.

Grounds Nos 1 & 2 - The temple lands have been treated as Devadaya at the time of the Inam settlement (vide Inam register). Govt have held that the temple in question is a muzrai institution - the petr's. contention that it is a private institution and that Govt in the Muzrai Dept cannot exercise any control over it, is untenable.

Ground No 3 - The present Dy. Commr Mr Matthan has reported that the Vahivatdar has not been keeping the Temple building in good repair. It has been reported that worship both in the morning and in the evening is being regularly b

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB 5000—9 11 14

Serial No.

in the Temple
Ground No 3 - Some of the Temple lands have been leased out for 500 years, while others, on Mulgeni Tenure - It has been held that such long and perpetual leases are in effect tantamount to an alienation and the Dy. Commr has been directed to take proceedings to cancel these unauthorized alienations.

It will thus be seen that no fresh grounds have been adduced for a re-consideration of the Govt order in question. The review may if so directed, be rejected.

(2) From, Vedavyasa Jois thro' his Advocate,
Petition Dy 19.5.1915.
Prays that pending disposal of his review-petition, the execution of the order directing the dispossession of the lands may be stayed.
Submits for orders.

MM(11/5) 20.5.1915. The Petr may if applied be told that the grounds of the review were all before Govt while passing the

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—500—9.11.14

[G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 521 of 1914.

Serial No.

previous order + no fresh grounds have been advanced for the review Pet^n being entertained?

First Member) 5/7

21.5.15

Mahamadendra 25/5

I shall hear the Party in this case. (about end of July)

Ex^n may be stayed — 13/6/15

Dept. 15/6

15/6.

Submd. with Dfs:

15/6-6-15.

17/6

17/6.

2 No 2939 of 1915 - 2 Defs shewing, Pds the above Pets with the request that the execution of Govt order may be stayed pending disposal of these review Pets, adds that entire records of the case may be called for by this Office & reach this Office before the end of Current month

No: 2999 of 25-6/15 - 2 Pets

for issue of Cert^s

22/6

Defendants heirs of the above

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—5,000—9 11 14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 521 of 1914

Serial No.	
	Draft Govt calling for the Vaidika papers & Tahsildar's proceedings.
	22/7/15
	7/7/15
3	From, the residents of Tirthahalli Anonymous petition without date Complain that the petitioner in the case - Vedavyasa Jois - is a madhwa brahmana unfit to touch and worship the deity, that the same documents and Sasana relied upon by him have been proved to be fabricated, that though the Temple gets a decent income, worship is not properly conducted and its affairs are mismanaged and pray that on a perusal of the records, the Temple and its endowments may be taken under Govt. management, arrangements for regular worship etc. being made through Govt. Agency, and Dharmadarsi may be appointed to supervise the affairs of the institution.
	1st Members under:— "At what stage is this matter?" —

NOTL—Contn sheet.

GPB—60 000—7 11 13

R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 521 of 1914

Serial No.

The Member has directed that the review-petition should be posted for hearing about the end of July 1915. The records in the case have not as yet been received from the Dy. Commr, Shimoga Dist. Orders are solicited to post the case on receipt of records. ~~and after sufficient Number of Cases accumulate~~

Submitted.

D.O
AV(94) a draft reply is subd for
20.7.1915 approval.
20.7.15

Approved. After issue put up the file for subn to the member

20.7.15

D.O issued - file returned as desired

24-7-15

4 From, the Dy Commr, ~~Bangalore~~ Shimoga Distt, Letter no. 49/R.26 Dy 22.7.15 (received in the Branch on 24.7.1915).

Submits the vernacular records connected with the case.

This case has been ordered to

GPB—60,000—7 11 13

F. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 521 of 191 6

Serial No.

be posted for hearing

Yogibhatta
Venkatesabhatta
Santabhatta
Anantarayana
Balasubramanya
Narasimha — Venkatarama
Venkata (1421)

Krishna

Suchmo. attention is invited to the
M 940 1st members' order on page 5
24-7-1915 supra that this case shd be
posted for hearing about the end of
this month. As the records in the case
have been received only today, may
the case be posted for hearing on the
26th August 1915? There are some
Rev cases for hearing on that day.

24-7-15

His ascertainment that
all the cases have been
posted by the 26th
or 27th August 1915

Ascertain from the personal
Assistant by Saturday 28th inst whether
Lord the members. To this date Rev.
and other cases ready for hearing may
be posted

29-7-15

A.V

Suchmo. with Dft. notices by the 2nd inst

M 940 3-8-1915 3/8/15

21 Aug 1915

Mr. C.B. Sesha Giri Rao for
Pets.

(Inam Settlement 1872) is binding
on the Pets.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30 4-15.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 521 of 1914

Serial No.

Order

~~Thought~~ The documents now read by the Pet^rs advocate were all considered at the time of the inam settlement in 1872, and the inam was registered as devadaya. The Pet^r ~~does~~ cannot now go behind it & in fact does not intend to do so. His obj^n is ~~decided to~~ that the Dy C^r shd not be authorised to fix the scale & that it shd be recognised that the temple is a private one.

The Dy C^r ~~will~~ after such enquiry as may be required may frame a scheme of Management in accordance with the last order & send it up to Govt for sanction.

21/8/15

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30 4 15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 527 of 1914

Serial No.	
	Submtd. with a Dft. order. AV(SL) 23.8.1915 Subd by Hon. member 24.8.15 Draft appd by First member 31 8 15
	HT 1/9/15 Hon. 1.9.15
5	No: 718 dt 8-9-15 - Bd of Shimoga - requested to frame a scheme of management for the district in accordance with the orders recorded [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—80 4-15



Mura-

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, MUZROY DEPARTMENT.



The humble petition of Vedavyasa Jois
of Theerthahally.

Respectfully Sheweth:

That the lands which were formerly in the Khate of the petitioner's ancestors, and which have since been erroneously transferred to the Khate of Samagodu Ramachandra Devaroo in the Theerthahally Taluk, originally belonged to 2 ryots named Sanne Gowda and Mariyanna Gowda.

That about 200 years ago one of the ancestors of the petitioner, by name Samagodu Ramappa, purchased the said lands from the said ryots, paid to the then Government (Keladi or Nagar Rajas) a lump sum in com - mutation of the annual land revenue, and had the lands converted into an Inam.

That in the year 1740 A.D. the said Samagodu Ramappa made a gift of the said lands together with Ramachandra Devaru under a Copper plate Sasana, in fulfilemt of an intended and promised marriage gift at the time of " [illegible] " of his daughter to the petitioner's ancestor Jogi Bhatta, by the said Rama- appiah's father Thimmappaiya, and that the petitioner's ancestors and the petitioner have been in possession and enjoyment of the lands eversince.

That

12

That in 1814 during the reign of the late Krishna Raja Wadaiyar, when the local authorities tried to interfere with the said lands, His Highness' Government issued a Nirup confirming the Inam, and forbidding any molestation on the part of local authorities.

That these facts were duly established during the Inam Settlement.

That after Survey, when an attempt was made to impose Kalsat, the petitioner's brother made a representation, and got the Kalsat cancelled vide Government Order No.116-8/P and 21-96 dated 7/7/1897.

That in the face of these facts, and in spite of the provisions of the Muzroy Regulations, the Government have, on the representation of some people not well disposed towards the petitioner and his brother, directed that Ramachandra Devaru temple should be regarded a Muzroy Institution, that the leases granted by the petitioner in respect of the said lands should be cancelled, and that the lands should be resumed by the Deputy Commissioner, and not restored to the petitioner unless he consents to execute a mutchalika embodying certain conditions. G. O. No. 724 dated 12th Oct. '14. Mug 36-06

The petitioner humbly prays that the above order may be reviewed for the following reasons:-

1. The temple is not a Muzroy Institution but a private temple — which was a marriage gift to the petitioner's ancestor.

2. The ~~said~~ temple is kept in a state of repair and services duly rendered.

3. Some of the lands, it is true, have been permanently leased, because there is no other means of getting them cultivated properly and regularly.

4. The lands in question were made a gift of at the time of the marriage of the petitioner's ancestor for the support of Yogi Bhatta and his family along with Ramachandra Devaru, as the life of a Brahmin Grihastha without something to worship was in those days unthinkable.

Yours most obedt. & humble servant

25. 1. 15. वि. स. व रदलावसंवाविवसंवाद्य

14

ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

19 —19 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನಂಬರು.

ಫಾದಿ. ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ.

ಬರಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ತನಾಮ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಂಬರ್ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈಸಲ್ ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಗೆ, ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲೂ, ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕ್ರೀ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹ ಪಡೆದುಕ್ಕೊಂಡು ರಶೀದಿ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗಾಗಿ ವಾಪಸುಆಗುವ ದಾವಾ ಸಾಮಾನು ಪ್ರೋಸೆಸ್‌ಸಾಮಾನು ಸಹ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಮತ್ತು ನನ್ನ ಬಾಬತು ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ದಸ್ತೈ ವಜಾ ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಸಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನಡಿಸುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿ ಇರುವಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲೂ ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು 25.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತಾರ್‌ನಾಮ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯುಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಾಹ್ಜನು ಇವಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುವದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಸರ್ಟಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

C R Seshagiri Rao
Adv.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತಾರ್‌ನಾಮೊ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

C R Seshagiri Rao
Adv.

ಈ ಸಾರಂ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪ್ ವೆಂಡರ್ ಯೆಂ. ನಂಜುಂಡಪ್ಪನವ್ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಂಡುತ್ತು.

Encl Directs the stay of the exn of the orders of Govt relating to Samagoor Ramachandra Devaru Temple in the Tirthahalli Taluk

1914 2 15

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 521

Serial No. 1914 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 1157

No. 2939 Enq

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

To

The Dy. Commr,
Shimoga Distt

Date of issue 19.6.1915

Signed by Narayanmurthy

Sir,

Adverting to this office letter no 724 dy 12th oct. 1914 directing the cancellation of the unauthorized alienation of the inam lands endowed to Sri Ramachandra Devaru Temple on the bank of the River Tunga at Samagoor in the Tirthahalli Taluk, I am directed to forward

over.

SUBJECT.

herewith the marginally-noted review-petitions from Vedavya & Jois of Tirthahalli praying for a re-consideration of the above order and to request that pending disposal of the review by Govt, the execution of the order of Govt may be stayed.

1) D. 115
2) D 1867

I am to add that as the review-applications ~~have to be posted for hearing~~ will be heard about the end of July next the entire records of the case returned with this office letter dy 12th Octr 16 may kindly be re-transmitted for reference so as to reach this office before the end of the current month.

I have etc.

Sd/-
1716.
for Offg. Secretary.

Dft) SD/ 17/6
1st members order.

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—4 3 13

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

No 2999 Dt 25-6-915

Demi 521-14-E

1) D. 115 / dt 25-1-15

2) D. 186 / dt 19-5-15

GPB—12,000—15-2-13

COURT FEE TWO RUPEES

DIARY No. 1867

19/4 1915

SECTION Mysore

FILE No. 521-14

SERIAL No. R

VII
Cash 18

D
20/5/15

W

Before the Govt of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Muzroy Department.

In the matter of certain Inam lands in connection with Samagode Ramachandraswami, Thirtha-halley Taluk.

Petitioner
Vedavyasajois

The petitioner abovenamed respectfully begs that, pending the disposal of the application made by him on the 25th of January 1915, the execution of the order directing the dispossession of the lands may be stayed.

19. 5. 15

C. B. Seshagiri Rao
Adv. for the Petr.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Date of Receipt 13/7/16
No. 6158

No. 49/R.26

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District,
Dated Shimoga 22nd July 1915.

DIARY No 1516

From

K. Watthan, Esquire., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

19 15 16
SECTION Revr
FILE No. 521-14
SERIAL No. 24

21

To

V. R. Thyagaraja Iyer, Esq[illegible]
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to Government order No.2039 Muz.521-14-2 dated 10th ultimo forwarding the marginally noted review petitions from Veda-

(1) D 1157
(2) D 1867

vyasa Jois of Tirthahalli praying for a reconsideration of the Government order No.704/Muz. 36-06 dated 10th October 1914 directing the cancellation of the unauthorised alienation of the inam lands endowed to Sri Samagod Ramachandra Devaru temple at Tirthahalli and calling for the entire records of the case I have the honor to submit herewith the vernacular papers relating to the subject received with the Tirthahalli Taluk Amildar's Ittilla No.28 dated 8th instant.

2. The review applications received with your letter under reply are returned herewith.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

GPB—100,000—29-7-14

191 5-16.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Dated 22nd July 1915.

Despatched

Received

General No. 69
No. R. 26.

Enclosure No. 1. V. file

Adverting to Government order No. 2020 Muz. 501-14-2 dated 9th ultimo submits vernacular papers relating to the subject received with the Tirthahalli Taluk Amildar's Letter No. 98 dated 8th instant.

G.R.S. 28]

I Passing orders on the review-petition from Vedavyasa Jois of Tirthahalli praying for a re-consideration of the Govt order relating to Samagod Ramachandra Devaru Temple in the Tirthahalli Taluk

File No. 521
Serial No 1864

Diary No of letter replied to 1462

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 8.9.1915

Signed by M^r S Aravamudhachar

READ—

Letter no 724 dt 12th October 1914 from the Dist Commr Shimoga ~~directing the Cancellation~~ reporting his action in the proceedings re Cancellation of the unauthorized alienations of certain inam lands endowed to

~~ORDER THEREON—No.~~

Sri Ramachandra Devaru Temple ~~on the bank of the River~~ ~~Tunga~~ at Samagod in the Tirthahalli Taluk, and ~~the~~ the Same ~~restoration of the lands~~ to the

over

SUBJECT.

GPB—50,000—1-12-13

Vahivatdar under certain conditions ~~of the Executive~~
a Muchalika binding himself
to the conditions stipulated
therein, failing which the
property should be taken
under Govt. management.
~~Petition~~ Petition registered no. 1157 of
25th January 1915 from the Vahivatdar
Vedavya Sa Jois praying for
a re-consideration of the
above order
Letter no. 49/1926 of 22nd July 1915 from the Dy. Commr, Shimoga Dist.
Order thereon. — No. 718 submitting the records called for
Exhibit D 8 9 1915
Mun 521-14-5

The case was heard before
Govt on 21st August 1915,
the petitioner ~~was~~ being represented
by his Advocate Mr C.B Sesha Giri Rao.

The documents read before
Govt by the petitioner's
Advocate were all considered
at the time of the inam
Settlement in 1872 and
the inam was registered
as dêva'daya. The petitioner

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

7.4

Cannot now go behind it and in fact does intend to do so. His Objection is that the Dy. Commr. should not be authorized to fix the scale and that it should be recognized that the Temple is a private one.

The Dy. Commr. is requested to frame, after such enquiry as may be required, a scheme of management in accordance with the orders conveyed in the letter read above and send it up to Govt. for sanction.

31/8/15 1st member under

Hm 27.8.15

for Revenue Secretary

To,

The Dy. Commr, Shimoga Dist.,

Copy of the record of proceedings of Dr. Thabali, through his Advocate Mr. C. P. Session — Mc